### Global Retailer - Third-Party Risk Management Program

Project Type: Third-Party Risk Management (TPRM) Framework Design

**Industry:** Retail / E-commerce

Role: GRC / Cybersecurity Governance Practitioner

**Duration:** 5 weeks

## 1. Executive Summary

A global retail organization relied on dozens of third-party vendors for payments, logistics, cloud hosting, customer support, and in-store systems. Third-party risk activities were scattered across email, spreadsheets, and ad-hoc checks, with no unified view of vendor risk.

In this project, I designed a practical, scalable Third-Party Risk Management (TPRM) program that:

- Classified vendors by criticality and data sensitivity
- Introduced structured due diligence questionnaires mapped to PCI DSS, ISO 27001, SOC 2 and privacy expectations
- Established a 5-week implementation roadmap from discovery through remediation tracking

The result was a clear, repeatable TPRM process that could be understood by security, procurement, and business stakeholders.

#### 2. Context & Problem

The organization faced several challenges:

- No complete or reliable vendor inventory
- Vendors were approved based on cost and functionality, not risk
- Due diligence questions were inconsistent and driven by whoever requested the vendor
- Renewals and reassessments were not tracked, creating blind spots in risk exposure
- Leadership lacked a simple view of "Which vendors worry us the most and why?"

The objective of this project was to design a TPRM approach that could realistically be implemented by a small GRC team, while aligning with common frameworks and audit expectations.

#### 3. Objectives

I defined four clear goals for the program:

- 1. Visibility: Create a single vendor inventory with ownership and basic risk attributes.
- 2. **Risk-based Tiers:** Classify vendors (e.g., Critical, High, Medium, Low) using simple, repeatable criteria.
- 3. **Standardized Due Diligence:** Introduce questionnaires and evidence requests aligned to key frameworks.
- 4. **Actionable Reporting:** Produce a basic risk register and dashboard summarizing key vendor risks and remediation status.

## 4. Approach & Methodology

## 4.1 Vendor Inventory & Data Collection

- Designed a vendor inventory template capturing:
  - o Vendor name, service category, business owner
  - o Data types processed (cardholder data, PII, internal data, etc.)
  - Hosting model (on-prem, SaaS, cloud provider)
  - Regions / jurisdictions (for privacy considerations)
- Populated the inventory from:
  - o Sample contracts / invoices
  - Purchase records
  - "Shadow IT" sources such as marketing tools and SaaS apps

## 4.2 Vendor Tiering Model

Created a simple **scoring model** to tier vendors based on:

- Data sensitivity (e.g., payment data, PII, internal only)
- Service criticality (impact on revenue, operations, customer experience)
- Connectivity to core systems (direct network access vs. isolated)

Vendors were then assigned to tiers:

- Tier 1 Critical: Payment processors, core cloud platforms, major logistics providers
- Tier 2 High: Systems handling large volumes of PII or key operational functions
- Tier 3 Medium / Low: Non-critical tools with minimal data exposure

Tiering determined how deep due diligence needed to be and how often to reassess each vendor.

## 4.3 Due Diligence Questionnaires

Developed **modular questionnaires** that could be reused across vendors:

- Core Security & Governance Section
  - o Information security policies and governance
  - o Access control, logging, incident response, business continuity

# Compliance Section

- o PCI DSS status for payment-related vendors
- SOC 2 / ISO 27001 certification status
- Data protection and privacy controls

## • Technical & Integration Section

- o Network connectivity, encryption, interface security
- Use of sub-processors / subcontractors

Questionnaires were mapped conceptually to frameworks (ISO 27001 controls, PCI DSS, SOC 2 trust principles) but written in business-friendly language.

### 4.4 Risk Evaluation & Register

For each vendor:

- Reviewed questionnaire responses and available certifications (e.g., SOC 2 report, ISO 27001 certificate).
- Identified control gaps and weaknesses, such as:
  - Missing MFA for administrative access
  - No documented incident notification process
  - o Limited evidence of formal vulnerability management
- Logged risks in a vendor risk register with:
  - o Risk description
  - Likelihood and impact rating
  - o Overall risk level (e.g., Low/Medium/High)
  - o Proposed treatment (accept, mitigate, transfer, avoid)
  - Action owner and target date.

## 4.5 Implementation Roadmap (5 Weeks)

Designed a practical 5-week rollout plan:

- Week 1 Discover & Inventory
  - o Build initial vendor list, identify business owners
  - o Apply first-pass tiering based on simple criteria
- Week 2 Design
  - o Finalize tiering model, questionnaires, and workflows
  - o Agree on roles and responsibilities with security, procurement, and legal
- Week 3 Pilot
  - o Run the process on a small set of critical vendors
  - o Refine questions and scoring based on feedback
- Week 4 Scale
  - $\circ\quad$  Roll out questionnaires to all Tier 1 and Tier 2 vendors
  - o Populate the vendor risk register and start remediation discussions
- Week 5 Report & Improve
  - Build summary dashboard (e.g., number of vendors by tier, top risks, remediation status)
  - Document lessons learned and suggested enhancements (automation, tooling, integration with procurement)

#### 5. Deliverables

The engagement produced the following key artifacts:

### 1. Vendor Inventory & Tiering Sheet

List of vendors with assigned tier, data classification, and owner.

### 2. TPRM Policy & Process Overview (High-Level)

o Scope, roles, and end-to-end process from onboarding to periodic review.

### 3. Due Diligence Questionnaires

- o Core security questionnaire
- o PCI DSS / payment-specific add-on
- o Cloud / SaaS-specific add-on.

### 4. Vendor Risk Register Template

o Risk statements, rating logic, treatment options, and tracking fields.

# 5. 5-Week Implementation Roadmap & Summary Deck

o Visual timeline, responsibilities, and reporting views.

## 6. Outcomes & Impact

**Key Outcomes:** 

- 100% visibility into in-scope third parties in the new inventory
- Prioritization of effort: ~20% of vendors classified as Tier 1 & 2 but covering the majority of risk
- Clear understanding of top vendor risks, such as:
  - o Lack of formal incident notification obligations in some contracts
  - o No independent assurance (e.g., SOC 2 or ISO 27001) for certain critical SaaS tools
- A simple, repeatable process that could later be:
  - o **Automated** in a GRC / TPRM tool
  - o Integrated with procurement and renewal workflows
  - Extended to include continuous monitoring.

In this engagement, I:

- Defined the TPRM vision, scope, and objectives
- Designed the **tiering model** and scoring logic
- Authored the due diligence questionnaires and mapped them conceptually to frameworks
- Built the vendor inventory, risk register, and reporting layout
- Designed the 5-week rollout plan and documented roles & responsibilities
- Summarized the work in a one pager format suitable for stakeholders.

# 8. Lessons Learned & Next Steps

Key lessons from this project:

- TPRM programs succeed when they start **simple and practical**, then mature over time.
- Vendor tiering is essential—treating all vendors the same overwhelms both the business and security teams.
- Even without a dedicated TPRM tool, **structured spreadsheets + clear ownership** can significantly improve visibility and decision-making.